Water level change detection

Tomasz Niedzielski

Department of Geoinformatics and Cartography Faculty of Earth Sciences and Environmental Management University of Wrocław pl. Uniwersytecki 1, 50-137 Wrocław



ESA Land Training 2023 12th Advanced Training Course on Land Remote Sensing Hydrology and Hazards

To show when satellite altimetry:

- was not good enough to meet requirements of oceanography
 - \rightarrow before TOPEX/Poseidon
- began to be a useful tool in measuring water levels for oceanography
 - \rightarrow from TOPEX/Poseidon onwards
- began to be a useful tool in measuring water levels for hydrology

 \rightarrow from CryoSat-2 onwards

Before altimetry and also now (tide gauges)



Source: NOAA, http://co-ops.nos.noaa.gov/

RELATIVE OBSERVATIONS!

Water level change detection

3 / 43

Idea behind altimetry



Źródło: http://www.jpl.nasa.gov

ABSOLUTE OBSERVATIONS!

Water level change detection

4 / 43

What is measured and calculated?



Source: Liibusk A, Kall T, Rikka S, Uiboupin R, Suursaar Ü, Tseng K-H. Validation of Copernicus Sea Level Altimetry Products in the Baltic Sea and Estonian Lakes. Remote Sensing. 2020; 12(24):4062.



Data products are usually published after applying tropospheric and ionospheric corrections, tidal corrections, correction for waves and the inverted barometer effect.

Conventional satellite altimetry

LOW RESOLUTION MODE (LRM)



Source: www.aviso.altimetry.fr

크

Conventional satellite altimetry

EXAMPLE GROUND TRACKS (spacing and repeat cycle)



Source: www.aviso.altimetry.fr

Before TOPEX/Poseidon

크

< E

Oceanographic requirements (10 cm)



Source: http://www.altimetry.info/

< ₽

▶ ▲ 문 ▶ ▲ 문 ▶

크

Oceanographic requirements (in space)



Sea Level Anomaly (SLA) map on 2013-03-31 (MJD 56382)

Water level change detection

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Oceanographic requirements (in time)



12 / 43

Accuracy offered by some pre-TOPEX satellites

- Geos-3 (1975-1978)
 - $\rightarrow \approx \! 100 \text{ cm}$ accuracy
- Seasat (1978)
 - \rightarrow 50–60 cm accuracy
- Geosat (1985–1990)
 - \rightarrow 30–40 cm accuracy
- ERS-1 and ERS-2 (1991-2000 and 1995-2011)
 - $\rightarrow \approx \! 25 \text{ cm}$ accuracy

These accuracies did not meet expectations of oceanography.

What about hydrology?

Water Resources Research

Technical Reports

Measurement of river level variations with satellite altimetry

C. J. Koblinsky, R. T. Clarke, A. C. Brenner, H. Frey

First published: June 1993 | https://doi.org/10.1029/93WR00542 | Citations: 158

"The overall level of comparison is 0.7 m rms when the technique is applied manually, and 1.2 m rms when an automated version of the method is applied. At one location the average difference is 0.2 m rms. This level of accuracy may not be useful for routine hydrological measurements."

From TOPEX/Poseidon onwards

Water level change detection

Seminal paper by Fu et al. (1994)

JOURNAL OF GEOPHYSICAL RESEARCH, VOL. 99, NO. C12, PAGES 24,369-24,381, DECEMBER 15, 1994

TOPEX/POSEIDON mission overview

Lee-Lueng Fu, Edward J. Christensen, and Charles A. Yamarone Jr. Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena

Michel Lefebvre, Yves Ménard, Michel Dorrer, and Philippe Escudier Centre National d'Etudes Spatiales, Toulouse, France

"The results indicate that the root-sum-square accuracy of a single-pass sea level measurement is **4.7 cm** for the TOPEX system and **5.1 cm** for the POSEIDON system; both are more than a factor of 2 better than the requirement of 13.7 cm. This global data set is being analyzed by an international team of 200 scientists for improved understanding of the global ocean circulation as well as the ocean tides, geodesy, and geodynamics, and ocean wind and waves."

Rate of sea level change



Rate of sea level change [cm/year]

Sea level change driven by El Niño 1997/1998



These accuracies met expectations of oceanography.

Dut what also ut budgets and	< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □
Water level change detection	18 / 43

TOPEX/Poseidon over large rivers

WATER RESOURCES RESEARCH, VOL. 34, NO. 5, PAGES 1223-1239, MAY 1998

Contribution of the TOPEX NASA radar altimeter to the global monitoring of large rivers and wetlands

Charon M. Birkett¹ Department of Space and Climate Physics, University College London, England

"Here, it is shown that the NASA radar altimeter (NRA), currently operating on board the TOPEX/POSEIDON satellite, can successfully track both large wetlands and **rivers of** >1 km width. [...] Validation shows the results can be accurate to ~ 11 cm rms, offering the potential to observe these regions as part of a long-term hydrological monitoring program."

Limitations of TOPEX/Poseidon over large rivers

JOURNAL OF GEOPHYSICAL RESEARCH Atmospheres

AN AGU JOURNAL

Climate and Dynamics 🛛 🔂 Free Access

Surface water dynamics in the Amazon Basin: Application of satellite radar altimetry

C. M. Birkett, L. A. K. Mertes, T. Dunne, M. H. Costa, M. J. Jasinski

"This constraint does allow observation of the main stem (Solimões/Amazon) and the larger tributaries, but **rugged terrain** in the vicinity of the target additionally places severe **limitations on data retrieval**. [...] Overall, the altimetric results demonstrate that the T/P mission is successfully monitoring the transient flood waves of this continental-scale river basin."

Tarpanelli and Benveniste (2019) in their chapter entitled "Extreme Hydroclimatic Events and Multivariate Hazards in a Changing Environment" wrote:

"Due to the size of the footprint, which is larger than the water bodies of small-medium rivers (width of 40–800 m), the surrounding topography often contaminates the returned radar signal.".

WAVEFORM ANALYSIS AND RETRACKING

BUT IT WILL NOT SOLVE THE PROBLEM OF MEDIUM OR SMALL RIVER WIDTH

From CryoSat-2 onwards

∢ ≣ ≯

The solution is brought by SAR altimetry



Source: www.aviso.altimetry.fr

크

Sentinel-3A over the Ogooue river





Source: http://hydroweb.theia-land.fr

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

DAHITI database



Source: https://dahiti.dgfi.tum.de

</

크

▶ < ≣ ▶

Halicki M., Niedzielski T., 2022. The accuracy of the Sentinel-3A altimetry over Polish rivers. Journal of Hydrology 606, 127355 (1–14).

Objectives:

- examine the accuracy of water levels of the selected Polish rivers based on altimetric data from the Sentinel-3A satellite,
- study the influence of several factors (river width, river channel morphology, geographical setting of the VS and the land cover in its vicinity) on the altimetric measurements.

Study area (virtual stations and gauges)



Water level change detection

28 / 43

Nash-Sutcliffe Efficiency (NSE)



Water level change detection

크

Sentinel-3A vs. gauges (medium river)



イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

크



イロト イポト イヨト イヨト 二日

Environmental factors



▲ロ▶▲御▶▲臣▶▲臣▶ 臣 のなの

	NSE > 0.8	$NSE\leqslant0.8$
Mean % of artificial land cover	4.75	5.07
Mean % of agricultural land cover	60.92	60.43
Mean % of forest land cover	19.7	18.04
Mean % of grassland land cover	0.52	1.93
Mean % of inland wetland land cover	0.51	0.00
Mean $\%$ of inland water land cover	13.59	14.54
% of virtual sites with the complex river channel morphology or unfavourable geographical setting of the VS	56.52	81.82

크

▶ ▲ 토 ▶ ▲ 토 ▶

- For the studied Polish rivers (40–610 m in width), mean RMSE of water levels was of 0.22 m.
- Neither the river width nor the land cover revealed any impact on data accuracy.
- Poorer skills in computing stages are linked to sandbars and azimuth of rivers at VS.

Halicki M., Niedzielski T., 2023. The impact of the satellite ground track shift on the accuracy of altimetric measurements on rivers: A case study of the Sentinel-3 altimetry on the Odra/Oder River. Journal of Hydrology 617, 128761 (1–15).

Objectives:

- quantify the impact of river slope (due to satellite ground track shift) on the accuracy of altimetric measurements at virtual stations,
- propose a new method for correcting altimetric measurements.

Problem



Problem



Water level change detection

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆三 > ◆三 > 三 - のへで



Water level change detection

▲ロト ▲御 ト ▲ 臣 ト ▲ 臣 ト ● ○ ○ ○ ○ ○

Effect along the river



크



Water level change detection

- The correction with the gauge-based slope allowed for a mean reduction in RMSE by 5.64 cm, which is an improvement of about 25%.
- The correction with the VS-based slope performed very similarly, reducing RMSE by 5.74 cm.
- The decrease in RMSE for the 16 VS is statistically significant for both approaches.

Instead of overall summary

SWOT HAS JUST BEEN LAUNCHED



Source: Remote Sensing 2014, 6(6), 4831-4869

Water level change detection

42 / 43

Thank you for your attention!

European Space Agency is acknowledged for inviting this contribution and support.

The research on Polish rivers has been conducted in frame of the project no. UMO-2020/38/E/ST10/00295 financed by the National Science Centre of Poland.