# Flood monitoring with Remote Sensing

ESA Training Course, Croatia 21/09/2023



























Sertit

#### ML input data



Samples : Training data digitized directly from the satellite imagery

- Vector format
- > Digitized directly from the satellite imagery
- 2 classes recorded in a field: water / non-water (mainly land but can be clouds also)
- > Representation of the variability of the spectral signatures in both classes

13

### SAR preprocessing

#### > Geometric: Orthorectification

The Range Doppler Terrain Correction Operator implements the Range Doppler orthorectification method [5] for geocoding SAR images from a single 2D raster radar geometry. It uses available orbit state vector information in the metadata, the radar timing annotations, the slant to ground range conversion parameters together with the reference DEM data to derive the precise geolocation information.

Due to topographical variations of a scene and the tilt of the satellite sensor, distances can be distorted in the SAR images. Image data not directly at the sensor's Nadir location will have some distortion. Terrain corrections are intended to compensate for these distortions so that the geometric representation of the image will be as close as possible to the real world.

Sertit

### SAR preprocessing

#### > Radiometric : Calibration

The objective of SAR calibration is to provide imagery in which the pixel values can be directly related to the radar backscatter of the scene. Though uncalibrated SAR imagery is sufficient for qualitative use, calibrated SAR images are essential to quantitative use of SAR data.

Typical SAR data processing, which produces level 1 images, does not include radiometric corrections and significant radiometric bias remains. Therefore, it is necessary to apply the radiometric correction to SAR images so that the pixel values of the SAR images truly represent the radar backscatter of the reflecting surface. The radiometric correction is also necessary for the comparison of SAR images acquired with different sensors, or acquired from the same sensor but at different times, in different modes, or processed by different processors.

### SAR preprocessing

#### > Radiometric : Despeckle

SAR images have inherent salt and pepper like texturing called speckles which degrade the quality of the image and make interpretation of features more difficult. Speckles are caused by random constructive and destructive interference of the de-phased but coherent return waves scattered by the elementary scatters within each resolution cell. Speckle noise reduction can be applied either by spatial filtering or multilook processing.

Sertit

### Water bodies detection from radar data

The capability to detect and monitor floods using microwave sensors arises from the very high sensitivity of microwaves to the presence of water in natural media. Unlike the diffuse reflection (high backscatter) from rough and dry soil, for example, the predominant specular or mirror-like reflection (low backscatter) from smooth water results in a high contrast in SAR imagery between flooded and non-flooded areas.





Sert



### Water bodies detection from radar data

While HH polarisation is generally considered as superior to VV or VH polarisation for flood mapping purposes [6] since it yields the highest contrast between open water and land areas, this polarisation is usually not available for systematically acquired Sentinel-1 data of land surfaces.

VV polarisation performs generally slightly better than VH polarization for water detection [7].







### Water bodies detection from radar data

#### > Manual cleaning step

Potential influence on the SAR-based water mapping of factors such as topography, wind, vegetation, urban areas, permanent low backscatter areas, snow and ice, and atmospheric conditions

### Water bodies detection from radar data

#### Influence of topography

The terms foreshortening, layover, and shadowing refer to the effects of topography on the geometry (i.e. image deformation) and radiometry (i.e. change of backscattered values) of SAR data. In fact, low backscatter from slopes inclined away from the incident SAR signal, can be confused with smooth water. Bright pixels on the slope facing the SAR signal do not produce such errors.



#### 25

### Water bodies detection from radar data

#### Influence of wind

In the presence of strong wind that roughens the water surface, the contrast between flooded and non-flooded soil in SAR images can be significantly reduced. In flooded land, many different and unknown situations - such as different water depths, or obstacles obstructing wind flow - can arise, where it is difficult to measure the effect on the SAR signal. In extreme cases (very high winds), the contrast between flooded and dry surfaces may even disappear completely.

Serti

### Water bodies detection from radar data

#### > Influence of vegetation

Due to the weak (or totally absent) backscatter contrast between flooded and non-flooded vegetation, detection of water-bodies under vegetated canopies is challenging. Here, the main mechanism is related to double-bounce, due to multiple reflection from the horizontal surface and vertical structures.



### Water bodies detection from radar data

#### > Influence of urban areas



In general, SAR imaging of urban areas is very complex, especially when radar resolution is not very high and many scattering components are included in the same resolution cell. The complexity of the problem which includes mutual shadowing between buildings in dense urban settlements, higher-order bounces (triple bounces can be very likely), and scattering from other elements like windows - renders SAR-based flood mapping in urban areas very unreliable.

Serti

### Water bodies detection from radar data

#### > Influence of permanent low backscatter areas

Smooth surfaces such as asphalt roads and flat rock exhibit low backscatter, which may lead to confusion with flooded areas. Times-series of backscatter measurements can be used to identify such areas with permanent low backscatter.

#### Water bodies detection from radar data

#### > Influence of atmospheric conditions

At higher microwave frequencies, there is higher absorption and backscattering of the SAR signal due to water drops. Therefore, when using SAR images collected at higher microwave frequency (e.g. Xband), high signal attenuation from heavy rain can produce very low backscatter, and possible confusion with flooding. At lower microwave frequencies (e.g. C-band and especially L-band) this problem is not severe, but can occur occasionally.

30

### Water bodies detection from radar data

#### > Influence of atmospheric conditions

Meteorological particles visible interfere with water detection

CSK , Myanmar, 10 August 2015 X band



<complex-block>





















Copernicus EMS – Rapid Mapping			opernicus	
<ul> <li>&gt; 24/7/365 service</li> <li>&gt; Night and week-end service</li> </ul>				
Product typ	e	Crisis information package (hours)		
Reference		10		
First estimat	e (FEP)	2		
Delineation		7		
Grading		10		
Situational F	eporting	4*		
		1		
		T0: satellite data reception		
			Université de Strasbourg	Sertit









## Flood modelling - CEMS RM EMSR692

























### References

[1] McFeeters, S. (1996). The use of normalized difference water index (NDWI) in the delineation of open water features. International Journal of Remote Sensing, 1425-1432.

[2] Xu, H. (2007). Modification of normalised difference water index (NDWI) to enhance open water features in remotely sensed imagery. International Journal of Remote Sensing.

[3] Feyisa, G., Meilby, H., Fensholt, R., & Proud, S. (2013). Automated Water Extraction Index: A new technique for surface water using Landsat imagery. ELSEVIER, Remote Sensing of Environment.

[4] Fisher, A., Flood, N., & Danaher, T. (2015). Comparing Landsat water index methods for automated water classification in eastern australia. ELSEVIER, Remote Sensing of Environment.

[5] Small D., Schubert A., Guide to ASAR Geocoding, RSL-ASAR-GC-AD, Issue 1.0, March 2008

[6] Henry, J.-B., P. Chastanet, K. Fellah, and Y.-L. Desnos. 2006. "Envisat Multi-Polarized ASAR Data for Flood Mapping." International Journal of Remote Sensing 27 (10): 1921–1929.

[7] Twele, A.; Cao, W.; Plank, S.; Martinis, S. Sentinel-1 based flood mapping: A fully automated processing chain. Int. J. Remote Sens.2016, 37, 2990–3004.