Soil Moisture Prediction based on Satellite Data using a novel Deep Learning model

Amina Habiboullah and Mohamed-Abdellahi Louly





1. WHO are we ?





Amina Habiboullah

GTI-AI Lead a.habiboullah@gtiintl.com



Mohamed Abdellahi Louly

GTI international CEO ma.louly@gti-intl.com

GTI-AI targets industrial application of Deep Learning technologies in **agriculture** and **environment** fields using satellite data.

GTI-AI's value proposition is based on products and services in its sector through engineering combining **professional quality** and **solid scientific foundation**.







2. WHY soil moisture ?



Global agtech VC deal activity by year

soil moisture is KEY for proper plant formation and high crop yields

2. HOW : the intuition

A whole literature on soil moisture content (SMC) retrieval from satellite data using machine learning (Random Forest, SVM, ...) as well as deep learning (mainly CNN based).

But no prior works, to the best of our knowledge, targeting SMC as a "semantic segmentation" of the satellite image AND taking into account the "spatial correlation"



Remote-sensed data engineering

Production-ready industrial AI cloud service

Novel DL architecture integrating both semantic segmentation nature as well as sequences context







4. Data

NDVI Data

Sentinel 2 : MultiSpectral Instrument – Spatial resolution of 10 m – June 2015



European Space Agency



$$NDVI_{green} = rac{NIR + Green}{NIR + Green}$$

 $NDVI_{blue} = rac{NIR - Blue}{NIR + Blue}$



Source : NDVI dynamic changes and their relationship with meteorological factors and soil moisture, Zhang et al., 2018

7

4. Data

Soil Moisture Data

SMAP

data is

quite accurate in

our target region

SMAP (NASA) : Microwave sensors (1.26 *GHz)* – *Spatial* resolution of 3 km -January 2015





Source : NASA ARSET : Soil Moisture for Agricultural Applications

SMAP Enhanced Active-Passive Product Using Sentinel-1



Source: Narendra Das

4. Data statistics















1e7 1.6 1.4 1.2 1.0 0.6 0.2 0.0_____ -0.4-0.2 0.2 0.4 0.0 NOVI blue V (f) NDVI blue Validation set Only data with less than 10% cloud coverage has been used for training and validation purposes.



Soil Moisture Data Distribution

NDVI Data Distribution

5. Architecture



6. Enterprise-grade deployment



7. Experiments : Evaluation Metrics



• Mean Squared Logarithmic Error : Allows to split each image into independent and equally weighted regression problems.

$$L(y,\hat{y}) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=0}^{N} (\log(\hat{y}_i + 1) - \log(y_i + 1))^2 = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=0}^{N} (\log(\frac{\hat{y}_i + 1}{y_i + 1}))^2$$

- Evaluation Metrics :
 - RMSE and ubRMSE are quite convenient to the SMP task according to Entekhabi et al., 2009

$$RMSE = \sqrt{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=0}^{N} (\hat{y}_i - y_i)^2}$$
$$ubRMSE = \sqrt{RMSE^2 - MBE^2}$$

• Mean Absolute Error

$$MAE = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=0}^{N} |\hat{y}_i - y_i|$$

7. Experiments : Optimization

• Adam's update Rule :

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_t &= \alpha \times \frac{\sqrt{1 - \beta_2^t}}{1 - \beta_1^t} & \beta_1 = 0.9 \\ \theta_t &= \theta_{t-1} - \alpha_t \times \frac{m_t}{\sqrt{v_t} + \hat{\epsilon}} & \beta_2 = 0.999 \end{aligned}$$

Since soil moisture values on our training and validation set are between 0 cm³/cm³ and 0.45 cm³/cm³, the MSLE loss is quite low and decreases quickly → vanishing gradients problem

Our proposed solution is to schedule ϵ parameter for the 30 first epochs of training in order to find the best ϵ parameter value, which will scale up gradients

7. Experiments : Optimization



• Adam's update Rule :





7. Experiments : Training



Configuration	Search space	$Loss(\times 10^{-3})$	MAE	RMSE	ubRMSE	Epoch
	LO	1.618	0.0371	0.0486	0.0465	29
	L2/4	1.818	0.0379	0.0513	0.0501	13
Skip connections	L3/5	1.535	0.0347	0.0468	0.0455	47
Skip connections	L1/3/5	1.587	0.0353	0.0483	0.0472	22
	L2/3/5	1.808	0.0374	0.0511	0.0498	18
	L1/2/3/4/5	1.738	0.0366	0.0503	0.0493	28
	4	1.897	0.0400	0.0497	0.0436	43
Batch size	8	1.671	0.0363	0.0482	0.0456	47
Batch size	16	1.535	0.0347	0.0468	0.0455	47
	32	1.799	0.038	0.0522	0.0513	13
Activation function	${ m ReLU}$	1.358	0.0325	0.0447	0.0435	35
Activation function	ELU	1.535	0.0347	0.0468	0.0455	47



8. Results : Example

MAE = 0.0097 RMSE = 0.012



8. Results : Performance



8. Results: Block Averaging





NDVI







Avg Predicted SM



0.000

0.025 0.050 0.075 0.100 0.125 0.150 0.175 0.200 scm^3.cm^(-3)

MAE = 0.036 RMSE = 0.042 ubRMSE = 0.039

_			-	-
-	r	r	n	r
╘			J	н

⁰⁰ 1		Sec. Party	1000				A DESCRIPTION OF	
00			14	and the				
00 ·	1							
00								
00 -			-			and the second		
00 -		14.						College Designation
00 -		1		100	122			
00								
0		200	400	600	800	1000	1200	1410

MAE Raw SM - 100 Epoc

0.026	0.050	0.075	0.100	0.125	0.150	0.175	0.200
-------	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------	-------

MAE = 0.036 RMSE = 0.046 ubRMSE = 0.043

Inference Pipeline	MAE	RMSE	ubRMSE	Epoch
Without block evenoring	0.089	0.100	0.055	35
Without block averaging	0.087	0.099	0.056	100
With block averaging	0.088	0.099	0.053	35
with block averaging	0.086	0.097	0.053	100

8. Further work and applications









Acknowledgments



- R, G, B and NIR bands are collected from Sentinelhub. The authors wish to thank the European Space Agency for sponsoring the access to Sentinelhub.
- We wish also to thank the National Snow and Ice Data Center Distributed Active Archive Center (NSIDC DAAC) and NASA Earthdata Search for providing free access to SMAP data.

Thanks !