Atmospheric Composition Modelling and Assimilation (with focus on aerosols)

Angela Benedetti

With contributions from:

Alessio Bozzo, Francesca Di Giuseppe, Luke Jones, Samuel Rémy, Mark Parrington, Anna-Augusti Panareda, Vincent-Henri Peuch, Sebastien Massart, Antje Inness, Johannes Flemming, Miha Razinger, Martin Suttie, and the CAMS team



- General introduction to the Copernicus Atmosphere Monitoring System (CAMS)
- Data products and catalogue
- Interesting cases: the Indonesian Fire season of 2015
- Overview of modelling and data assimilation efforts with focus on aerosols
- Impact of aerosols on NWP (medium-range and long-range)
- Summary and future perspectives in aerosol prediction

THE COPERNICUS ATMOSPHERE MONITORING SYSTEM (CAMS)



Atmospheric composition is a pivotal element between human activities and the Earth Environment

aerosol



greenhouse

90.000



ozone hole numerical weather prediction

impacts



Atmospheric composition and its changes affect our health and well-being

ECMWF

CAMS: A Significant Heritage

 A decade-long series of R&D projects and an internationally respected European achievement (GEMS, MACC, -II, -III)

 An equally long experience in engaging with users and potential users in Europe and across the world (PROMOTE, MACC, -II, -III)



)ernicus

ECHART ECHART





From Earth Observation to policyquality products

Over 70 EO instruments are assimilated in the global system





Boundary conditions feed an <u>ensemble</u> of high-resolution European AQ systems (in order to assess uncertainties)

> More data are assimilated (in particular in situ) and used for extensive validation





Policy-relevant (here health indicator for ozone) products are delivered. They are "maps with no gaps", which observations alone don't provide and are essential to assess impacts.



CAMS Portfolio





AIR QUALITY AND ATMOSPHERIC COMPOSITION

European air quality analyses, forecasts and assessments in support of reporting and policy making, pollen forecasts, global transport of constituents/pollutants.

CLIMATE FORCING

Distributions of aerosol components and their radiative impacts, other radiative forcings.



OZONE LAYER AND UV

Monitoring and forecasting of the ozone layer / hole, UV index, UV radiation (crops, ecosystems).



SOLAR RADIATION

Estimates of solar irradiance at surface, improved potential yield assessments for solar plants.



EMISSIONS AND SURFACE FLUXES

Estimates of human emissions globally and in Europe (high-resolution), emissions by wildfires, surface fluxes of CO_2 , CH_4 and N_2O .

http://www.copernicus-atmosphere.eu

© ECMWF





CAMS online catalogue search

(open data policy)





Ratio of the standard deviations - $\sigma_{\text{forecasts}} / \sigma_{\text{obs}}$

pernicus

evaluation



GROWING CAMS AUDIENCES (3000+ USERS)



<u>Daily</u> time-critical users of Global Services



Service	Number of Users/ Requests for data
Global NRT Analyses & Forecasts	~225 users
Regional NRT Analyses & Forecasts	155 users
Global Reanalysis	1600 users
GHG flux inversions	40 users
Solar Radiation	~1000 requests/year
Global ftp	~ 40 users
Emissions, fire	1773 users (716 institutes)

<u>Daily</u> time-critical users of Regional Services



Users of the global re-analysis





RECENT EPISODES

Poor air quality over Western Europe (March 2015)



PM10 Aerosol [µg/m3] N=7 threshold (24h mean) =50 µg/m3 210 PARIS 180 150 -120 -90 -60 30 Tue 17 Wed 18 Thu 19 Fri 20 March 2015 0% 75% modii 25% 10% threshold

Dust advection from the Sahara (March 2015)



Indonesian fires –large biomass burning AOD anomaly (Aug-Sep-Oct 2015)





A 2 Q

INDONESIAN FIRES (AUG-OCT 2015)

theguardian = all

Deforestation Indonesia forest fires: how the year's worst environmental disaster unfolded - interactive





Fire Radiative Power (W/m2) accumulated over Indonesia during the 2015 fire season (Aug-Oct). Credits: Francesca Di Giuseppe





INDONESIAN FIRES (AUG-OCT 2015)

O3 anomaly: 30-40 %



CO anomaly: up to 500%



Biomass burning AOD anomaly: up to 2000%



Benedetti et al, 2106 to appear in State of Climate, BAMS. Credits: Antje Inness, Mark Parrington (ECMWF), Gerry Ziemke (NASA)



AEROSOL MODELLING AND ASSIMILATION





CAMS aerosol forecasts

- Built on the ECMWF NWP system with additional prognostic aerosol variables (sea salt, desert dust, organic matter, black carbon, sulphates)
- Aerosol data used as input in the aerosol analysis:

- NASA/MODIS Terra and Aqua Aerosol Optical Depth at 550 nm

- EUMETSAT's PMAP AOD (monitoring)

- NASA/CALIOP CALIPSO Aerosol Backscatter (experimental)

- AATSR, SEVIRI, VIIRS (experimental)
- Verification based on AERONET Aerosol Optical Depth (and now also Angstrom exponent)
- Part of multi-model ensemble efforts such as the International Cooperative for Aerosol Prediction (ICAP) and the WMO Sand and Dust Storm Warning and Assessment System (SDS-WAS) North-African-Middle-East-Europe and Asian nodes.



Source: ICAP http://icap.atmos.und.edu/

Aerosols in the ECMWF IFS (C-IFS)



12 aerosol-related prognostic variables:

* 3 bins of sea-salt $(0.03 - 0.5 - 0.9 - 20 \mu m)$ * 3 bins of dust $(0.03 - 0.55 - 0.9 - 20 \mu m)$ * Black carbon (hydrophilic and –phobic) * Organic carbon (hydrophilic and –phobic) * SO₂ -> SO₄

Physical processes include:

- emission sources (some of which updated in NRT, i.e.fires),
- horizontal and vertical advection by dynamics
- vertical advection by vertical diffusion and convection
- aerosol specific parameterizations for dry deposition, sedimentation, wet deposition by large-scale and convective precipitation, and hygroscopicity (SS, OM, BC, SU)

Morcrette et al. 2009, JGR, 114, doi:10.1029/2008JD011235

Recent developments: Dust emissions

- Overestimation of dust AOD : the average among the models participating in AEROCOMS is 0.023
- Compared to the literature and other models, the amount of larger particles in dust emissions is too low.
- => decrease of the amount of small particles in the emissions, increase the amount of larger particles





Global dust AOD for May 2014 as a function of lead time, with (red) and without (blue) data assimilation

> Better balance between the model and observations after the introduction of new emissions

Credits: Samuel Rémy

AOD at the AERONET station of Tamanrasset (Algeria), from 15/4/2014 to 1/8/2014. Observations (blue), old emissions (red) and new emissions (black)

Recent developments: Injection heights for biomass burning aerosol emissions

- Biomass burning emissions are currently emitted at the surface.
- Injection heights for biomass burning emissions are routinely produced by GFASv1.2., using a Plume Rise Model (Freitas et al, 2007, Paugam et al., 2015), and Sofiev's parameterization (Sofiev et al. 2012)
- Use of these injection heights was implemented in CIFS for aerosols, chemical species, greenhouse gases



Profile of OM mixing ratio over Canada (52N, 77.5W) on July 6, 2013

Blue, emissions of OM at surface, red, emissions at the injection height given by the PRM

Credits: Samuel Rémy

Evaluating the impacts of smoke injection heights computed from plume rise model



- Injection heights for smoke emissions are estimated using a Plume rise model (Paugam et al., 2015, based on Freitas et al., 2007)
 - This plume rise model uses MODIS FRP and modelled atmospheric profiles with a shallow convection scheme to represent detrainment from fire plumes
- Initial comparisons show that both aerosol extinction and AOT increase throughout the profile, not necessarily at smoke height shown in DIAL/HSRL profile

Credits: Rich Ferrare and Sharon Burton (NASA Langley)

Evaluating the impact of higher model resolution



Model resolution increased from T255 (80 km) with 60 vertical levels to T1279 (16 km) with 137 vertical levels Higher resolution represents smoke altitude better than assimilating MODIS AOT or using plume rise model

> Credits: Rich Ferrare and Sharon Burton (NASA Langley)

Future: GLOMAP aerosol in C-IFS



Credits: Graham Mann (University of Leeds)

Evaluation suite for assessing IFS- GLOMAP (also in UM, TOMCAT)



Credits: Graham Mann, Sandip Dhomse (Uni Leeds)

Sulphate mass evaluation against EMEP, IMPROVE, U. Miami obs datasets for reference IFS-GLOMAP run

GLOMAP evaluation strategy involves assessing a range of aerosol metric against observations.
 As well as aerosol optical depth speciated mass, size-resolved number concentrations are used.

The ECMWF 4D-Var



The observations are used to correct errors in the short forecast from the previous analysis time. This is done by a careful 4-dimensional interpolation in space and time of the available observations.
Every 12 hours we assimilate 4 – 8,000,000 observations to correct the initial conditions on the 100,000,000 variables that define the model's virtual atmosphere (winds, temperature, humidity, surface pressure, ozone and surface variables for the standard operational configuration).
Additional variables are included in the control vector for the MACC NRT analysis and forecast (reactive gases and aerosols).

The aerosol analysis

- Integrated in the ECMWF incremental 4D-Var
- Control variable is formulated in terms of the total aerosol mixing ratio.
- Increments in total mass are repartitioned into the single species according to their fractional contribution to the total.
- Background error statistics have been computed using forecasts errors as in the NMC method (48h-24h forecast differences).
- Assimilated observations are the MODIS Aerosol Optical Depths (AODs) at 550 nm over land and ocean, including Deep Blue over bright surfaces. Observation errors are prescribed fixed values.
- A global variational bias correction with constant and surface wind predictors for MODIS data is implemented in the current near-real time run.

Benedetti et al. 2009, JGR ,114, doi:10.1029/2008JD011115

Aerosol Optical Depth coverage from various sensors/products

AATSR: data over deserts but narrow swath & one Instrument. Can be replaced by SLSTR on Sentinel-3

PMAP: for now, only data over ocean were tested at ECMWF. Two platforms (more resilient), multi-sensor (more points of failure).







SEVIRI: geo-stationary, high data volume, partial coverage



MODIS: two platforms, global coverage. Ageing. Data also over bright surfaces when Deep Blue is used.





AATSR Aerosol Optical Depth data

AATSR data from FMI were used in a special **Climate Change Initiative** reanalysis for 2008

- Adds value to forecast-only run as shown by comparison with AERONET data
- Less impact than MODIS in the analysis due to coverage
- Data from Sentinel 3 SLSTR are expected to have a similar impact

RMS error. Model AOT at 550nm against L2.0 Aeronet AOT at 500nm. Voronoi-weighted mean over 118 sites globally (rmax = 1276km). 1-31 Jan 2008. FC start hrs=00Z. T+6 to 24. -g1ut -g1ux g1wy g199 0.9 0.8 0.7 0.6 0.5 0.4 0.3 0.2 0.1 - 1 Jan



Forecast-only run

- AATSR-only run
- MODIS-only run
- MODIS and AATSR run
- Other experiments also showed a positive impact of the AATSR data

PMAP Aerosol Optical Depth

Produced pre-operationally by **EUMETSAT** based on **GOME2**, AVHRR and IASI data. Similarly to AATSR data:

- Adds value to forecast-only run as shown by comparison with AERONET data
- Impact comparable to MODIS due to global coverage





Forecast-only run

- PMAP-only run
- MODIS-only run
- Monitoring of PMAP has started recently
- Assimilation will follow

SEVIRI Aerosol Optical Depth (ocean-only)

• Produced in NRT at **ICARE**

http://www.icare.univ-lille1.fr/msg/

- Based on an algorithm by Thieuleux et al., 2005
- Small but detectable impact on global bias (negligible in RMS)
- European/African coverage
- Of interest for European regional data assimilation
- Huge data volume (thinning needed)
- Other products under consideration

Data coverage over 24h





SEVIRI + MODIS run MODIS-only run



Assimilation of lidar signal



CALIOP level 1.5 sample orbit August 18, 2010



- Expedited product (courtesy of CALIPSO team at NASA Langley: David Winker, Chip Trepte, Jason Tackett)
- Average attenuated backscatter at 20 km, cloudcleared at 1 km.
- 345 vertical levels corresponding to 60 m resolution (averaged to 300 m before assimilation)

Data: all operational data plus MODIS AOD and CALIOP Level 1.5 backscatter

Verification of lidar assimilation experiments

AERONET verification shows good performance of lidar assimilation locally or at least not worse than the MODIS Dark Target-only run....



...but globally the MODIS-only run is still slightly on the lead.





Evaluation of the impacts of CALIOP profile assimilation



Assimilation of CALIOP
profiles slightly reduces
extinction profiles in some
locations; largest
extinction values remain
near surface

 Depending on location, these reductions can improve or worsen agreement with HSRL

> Credits: Rich Ferrare and Sharon Burton (NASA Langley)

Comparison of Median Profiles with and without CALIOP assimilation



- Median profiles in good agreement with MODIS AOT assimilation
- Adding CALIOP:
 - produces relatively minor effects on median profiles
 - tends to lower the AOT with respect to runs that assimilate only MODIS AOT
 - gives a slightly better agreement with HSRL

Credits: Rich Ferrare and Sharon Burton (NASA Langley)

CAMS REANALYSIS RUNS

- New "interim" reanalysis from 2003-2015 has been run in parallel mode (literally) for fast turnaround
- Overall good performance
- Used for contribution to the State of Climate (BAMS, in publication)
- Substantial differences with MACC reanalysis







Flemming et al 2016, APCD

REANALYSIS RUNS: BAMS STATE OF CLIMATE 2015



TOTAL AOD

2003-2014

AOD ANOMALY 2015

Rémy et al, 2016: [Global climate] Aerosols [in "State of the Climate in 2015"]. To appear in Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc.

AEROSOL IMPACTS ON NUMERICAL WEATHER PREDICTION



Climatological AOD 550nm distribution MACC vs Tegen et al 1997 (OPER)

Credits: Alessio Bozzo



MACC run (2003-2012): sources of biomass burning from GFAS, sulphate aerosol precursor from EDGAR
 4.1, prognostic for sea salt and dust, revised dust model

• Optical properties recomputed for RRTM spectral bands and for each aerosol type/size bin. Mass mixing ratio as input to radiation

 Vertical distribution following an exponential decay with scale height derived from the MACC model for each aerosol type. Monthly varying for dust.

Impacts on FC errors



Credits: Alessio Bozzo, Linus Magnusson

WMO Working Group on Numerical Experimentation (WGNE)

This inter-comparison aims to evaluate the impact of aerosols on Numerical Weather Prediction

Three situations were proposed :

- Dust storm over Egypt on 18th of April 2012
- Extreme pollution over Beijing, 12-16th of January 2013
- Extreme biomass burning over Brazil in September 2012 during the SAMBBA field campaign

Participants : Météo-France, Met-Office, JMA, ECMWF, NOAA, NASA, CPTEC (Brazil)

Credits: Samuel Rémy



MODIS imagery, 18/4/2012

Beijing, 14



Dust case of April 2012 – Impact on temperature, winds and dust production

Table 2. 2m temperature, RMSE of REF_ASSIM and TO-TAL_ASSIM for forecast times 0, 12, 24, 36 and 48h, average for the period of 10th to 25th of April 2012. Stations considered are Hurguada, Luxor, Kosseir, Siwa, Wadi el Natroon, Cairo, Port Said and Ras Sedr in Egypt, and Ben Gurion airport close to Tel Aviv in Israel.

Forecast time	Oh	12h	24h	36h	48h
REF_ASSIM	1.46	1.48	1.5	1.62	1.53
TOTAL_ASSIM	1.32	1.49	1.43	1.6	1.58

Table 3. 2m temperature, bias of REF_ASSIM and TOTAL_ASSIM for forecast times 0, 12, 24, 36 and 48h, average for the period of 10th to 25th of April 2012 over the same selection of weather stations as table 2.

Forecast time	Oh	12h	24h	36h	48h
REF_ASSIM	-0.87	-0.05	-0.73	0.48	-0.47
TOTAL_ASSIM	-0.65	-0.18	-0.58	0.2	0.26

Difference between run with interactive aerosols (TOTAL_ASSIM) and reference run (REF_ASSIM) 36 hour forecast (valid on April 18th at 12UTC)

- Reduced 2m temperature
- Increased surface winds
- Increased dust production



ECMWF

Rémy et al, 2015, ACP, doi:10.5194/acp-15-12909-2015

Aerosol impacts on monthly forecasts (I)

- Preliminary results confirm the positive impact (reduction in bias) of the interactive aerosols on meteorological fields (winds and precipitation)
- More prominent (positive) impact over the Indian Ocean and to a lesser extent in other areas
- Aerosol fields will be evaluated too by comparing with the MACC/CAMS reanalysis (BONUS: aerosol seasonal prediction!)







In collaboration with: Fréderic Vitart (ECMWF)

Aerosol impacts on monthly forecasts (II)

Scorecard Weekly means - RPSS gf3l -gih1											
Pos. sign	-	O Pos	not sign	L_	😑 Neg. sign	-	○ Neg. not sign.				
	N	. Hem	isphe	ere		Tropics					
	w1	w2	w3	w4	w1	w2	w3	w4			
tp						0					
t2m			٠		۵	۰		•			
stemp						+	۰				
sst		•	۰	0		٠					
mslp	٥	0				۰		0			
t50		۰	۰				0	0			
u50			0					0			
v50	•	۰	0	0	•		۰				
sf200		+			•		۰	۰			
vp200						•	0	۰			
t200	÷	٠	\bigcirc		0	+	٥	۰			
u200		0	۰	Ŏ	+	0	(\cdot, \cdot)				
v200	•				۰	۰		•			
z500		٥			•	\sim		٥			
t500	•				o		0	0			
u500	•	0	۰	•	٠		0	•			
v500		0	۰		٥		ø	۰			
t850	٥				۰	۰		o			
u850		0	0	•	۰		٥	•			
v850			0	•	•	0	•	•			

Scorecard Weekly means - RPSS gf3I -gih1										
Pos. si	ign. O Pos. not sign.				Neg. sign.			O Neg. not sign.		
	N	N. Hemisphere				Tropics				
	m1	m2	m3	m4		m1	m2	m3	m4	
tp	٥					0	۰	0		
t2m	۰	0	0	•				0	۰	
stemp								0		
sst	•	۰		۰		+				
mslp		0	0	0		0	0		Q	
t50	•	α		Q						
u50	0	Õ	·			۰	\bigcirc		Ĩ	
v50	•	0	0	0		0	0			
sf200	۰	Q	0	0				\bigcirc	0	
vp200		\bigcirc	\bigcirc						0	
t200			0	0			0	0	-	
u200	0	0	0				\sim	0	٥	
v200		٠		0			o	0	0	
z500			(\cdot, \cdot)	۰			0	ø	٠	
t500	0			0				0	0	
u500		0	0			۰	o	0		
v500		0		0				0		
t850		۰	0	•		۰		0	0	
u850		0	0	0				۰		
v850	0		•	۰			0	0	0	

• Scorecards measures performance of interactive aerosol experiment with respect to the control run for several parameters.

• Green circles indicate positive impact

 Solid circles indicate significant Impact

• Temperature and winds greatly improved at week 4 in the interactive aerosol run!

• Upper-level temperature also improved on the seasonal scale (month 2-3)



Summary and future perspectives

 CAMS offers many services related to atmospheric composition from daily forecasts to reanalysis runs both at the global and at the regional (European) level

 Model developments have been carried out for the past 10 years during precursors projects. They are now part of the ECMWF's Integrated Forecasting System

 Several datasets are routinely assimilated and more are in the pipeline (Copernicus Sentinel satellites)

• The impact of interactive aerosols on Numerical Weather Prediction is being investigated at different time ranges and promises interesting results

