







→ ESA CRYOSPHERE REMOTE SENSING TRAINING COURSE 2018

11-16 June 2018 UNIS, University Centre in Svalbard | Longyearbyen, Svalbard

Radar Altimetry Theory in the Polar Ocean

Ole Andersen and Lars Stenseng DTU Space <u>oa@space.dtu.dk</u> or Stenseng@space.dtu.dk



Overview

- Why: the importance for the Arctic
- What is satellite altimetry
- How to derive SSH, SWH and wind speed
- Satellite at worlds end
- Conventional (LRM) vs SAR
- Polar Ocean





Satellite Altimeters



esa



- Nadir looking
- Only one point
- PRF=2-4000 kHz
- 1 hz = 6 km
- 20Hz =300 m
- <100 m



Orbit parameters

The coverage of the sea surface depends on the orbit parameters (inclination of the orbit plane and repeat period).



	Satellite	Repeat Period	Track spacing	Inclination Coverage
Repeating (ERM)	ERS1/ERS2/ENVISAT	35 days	95 km	98°(+/-82)
	Sentinel 3A+B	27 days	70/35 km	98°(+/-82)
	JASON 1-2-3	9.915days	315 km	66.5°
Geodetic	Cryosat-2	369 days	7 km	88°



What can satellite altimetry provide

- Mapping sea level (and its changes and its rise)
- Sea level extremes & predictions
- Mapping freshwater storage and
- Mapping ocean currents and freshwater-fluxes
- Mapping gravity field and bathymetry
- Mapping sea ice thickness and decline (mass)
- Mapping of ice-sheet and ice-caps
- Mapping of River and lakes.
- SATELLITE ALTIMERY PROVIDE LONG TERM MONITORING AND UNIQUE SPATIAL SAMPLING.

Principle of satellite altimetry

- (1) Radars transmit pulses of electromagnetic radiation at radio frequencies
- (2) The radar pulse is scattered or reflected by solid surfaces.
- (3) The backscattered pulse (echo) is detected by the radar receiver
- (4) The pulse travel time is recorded.
- (5) The travel time is converted into the distance (range) separating the radar and the surface.





How: Conventional LRM (low resolution)

WF = FSSR * PTR * PDF

WF : Waveform FSSR : Flat Sea Surface Response PTR : Point Target Response PDF : Probability Density Function of wave heights within footprint



esa

IMPORTANT.....

Typical foot-print is 100-300 km2

ALL REFLECTORS (ocean, sea ice, land) within footprint contribute to waveform. Water is better reflector than i.e. land – so will dominate...



From Power(t) to Epoch or sea surface height (waveform fitting)





Altimetric Observations

Trick:

You turn accurate time (epoch) into Range(Distance x2) and hence SSH

Based on Equation: Range = time * c / 2

c is speed of light (nearly constant)

 $SSH = Height_{sat} - Range$

Height_{sat} is determined using GPS or DORIS/Laser ranging Relative to the reference ellipsoid Ellipsoid is "best" mathematical model of the Earth Shape (WGS84)









Correcting the Range.

Correcting the Range.

 $SSH = H - R_{ange} - \Delta h_{dry} - \Delta h_{wet} - \Delta h_{iono} - \Delta h_{ssb} - h_{tides} - h_{ib} - h_{geoid/MSS}$ Range correction Surface+ Geophysical Corrections

Range is derived from the time Range = time*c/2



Altimetry at worlds end

- TOPEX/Poseidon, Jason-1,-2,-3
- Geosat, GFO
- S3A S3B
- ERS-1, -2, N1, HY2, SARAL
- IceSat
- CryoSat-2 , IceSat-2



Global sea level estimates leave out the Arctic Ocean (Jason based)



The Arctic Ocean Sea ice concentration sept 2015



Near-Real-Time DMSP S5M/I-SSMIS Daily Polar Gridded Sea Ice Concentrations

National Snow and Ice Data Center Maslanik and Stroeve 1999

Conventional altimetry is frequently contaminated in the presence of sea ice due to the large footprint



- **Conventional:**
- Jasons, SA, ERS+ENV + HY
- **SAR** altmeters
- Cryosat-2, S3A+3B
- **Next generation**
- S6 (2020) Both
- SWOT (Ka SAR-in) S9 (multificency)







Cryosat-2 not SAR everywhere Modemask controlled by 80% Arctic Sentinel 3A+3B only SAR







Far smaller change that SAR altimetry is contaminated due to smaller footprint



Notice: All reflectors within footprint contribute to waveform



Maximum precision (*minimum SSH std*) => maximum number of uncorrelated looks



Delay/Doppler ~ x2 better than conventional





Unfortunately.....





Polar Ocean – Arctic Waveforms









0.425







Francis (1991), Laxon (1994), and Stenseng (2014a)







Computing the Std of the looks (Stack Std Dev)



Classification







29



Retracking

- SAMOSA3 Physical retracking.
- SAMOSA3L adapted for Leads
- Yields 3 parameters(h,swh,s0)
- If only height is required
- Simple EMPERICAL retrackers
- Results in more data and is
- Preferred due to processing time







Example: Empirical Threshold Retracking $P_b = \frac{1}{5} \sum_{i=m-2}^{m+2} p_i$ $E = \frac{F_T \cdot P_b - p_{j-1}}{p_j - p_{j-1}} + j - 1$



Davis (1997) and Stenseng (2011/2014a)

esa

27 years of sea level observations in the Arctic Ocean (1991-2018).





Application: Precision and Accuracy

Precision by radar design (basically the std of ssh).

Accuracy is dependent on range corrections (ability to re-measure ssh).

Oceanography + Climate	Accuracy	
Ice-sheet topography + dynamics	Accuracy and Precision	
Gravity & Bathymetry	Precision	
Mean Sea Surface Seaice-Freeboard	Precision and Accuracy	
Mean Dynamic Topography	Precision and Accuracy + Geoid	
Need highest precision for many purposes Precision is determined by radar design. Higher precision than today requires higher PRF and or Open burst or alternative processing (Sm	hith)?	
K Baney	Precision	



Range accuracy Arctic Sea Level trend (68°N – 82°N)



Average linear trend 2.2 mm/year, Large inter-annual variations (AO driven)



Range precision:

Mean Sea Surface->Gravity->Bathymetry





DTU15 MSS and Free Air Gravity





Open issues – Future research

Sampling (lack of data - Seasonality)

Snagging + Swath processing

Snow on Sea ice (next presentation).





Seasonality



Western Arctic: Through retracking (ALES+ or more tolerant editing) the number of available data increases a lot



Snagging and (SARin)

- Bright off nadir like leeds dominates
- Range to target longer → surface lower
- Cross-track angle from SARin
- Caviat: Lover precision.
 - Only 1 burst per radar cycle (vs. 4 in SAR)







41

Swath procesing (SARin and SWOT





Freeboard and snow on sea-ice





We need better Arctic Ocean Tides





Sampling and Accuracy Tide Gauge: High temporal sampling



Satellite altimetry: low temporal sampling =>Aliasing

"Critical Sampling" (cryosat-2 vs annual signal ers/envisat/saral/hy-2 vs S2)" VVVVVVV

Aliased Signal Due to Undersampling



esa cryosphere remote sensing training course 2018 Amplitude Sampling: Time, s The FUNDAMENTAL 3 5 2 6 7 **Arctic Problem** is **Alias Periods**

> likely lifetime

Cryosat-2.

Aliased Period, days

Tides	Tidal Period, hours	ERS/ENVISAT SARAL (35 day)	TOPEX/POSEIDON 10-Day Repeat Orbit	Cryosat-2 (369 day)
M_2	12.42	-95	62	20.1 vears
S2	12.00	00	-59	
N_2	12.67	97	-50	
K2	11.97	183	-87	Actually
O ₁	25.82	-75	46	All > likely
P ₁	24.07	-365	-89	Of Cruces
K ₁	23.93	365	-173	Of Cryosa
O ₁	26.87	133	69	
Mm	661.30	130	28	
Mf	327.84	-80	-36	
S _{sa}	4383.00	183	183	



Questions? If you are still awake!







Bibliography

- Andersen, O. B., P. Knudsen and P. Berry (2010) The DNSC08GRA global marine gravity field from double retracked satellite altimetry, Journal of Geodesy, Volume 84, Number 3, DOI: 10.1007/s00190-009-0355-9
- Andersen, O. B., Egbert, G. D, Erofeeva, L., and Ray R. (2006) Mapping nonlinear shallow water tides: a look at the past and Andersen, O. B., and R. Scharroo (2010), Range and geophysical corrections in coastal regions, book chapter in eds. (Vignudelli i et al), Coastal altimetry, ISBN: 978-3-642-12795-3 future, Ocean Dynamics 56, 5-6
- Armitage, T. W. K. and Davidson, M. W. J. (2014). Using the Interferometric Capabilities of the ESA CryoSat-2 Mission to Improve the Accuracy of Sea Ice Freeboard Retrievals. *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*, 52(1):529-536.
- Dominguez, R. (2010, updated 2014). IceBridge DMS L1B geolocated and orthorectified images. Boulder, Colorado USA: NASA DAAC at the National Snow and Ice Data Center.
- Davis, C. H. (1997). A robust threshold retracking algorithm for measuring ice-sheet surface elevation change from satellite radar altimeters. *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*, 35(4):974–979.
- Krabill, W. B. (2014). IceBridge narrow swath ATM L1B elevation and return strength. version 2. Boulder, Colorado USA: NASA DAAC at the National Snow and Ice Data Center.
- Scagliola, M. and Fornari, M. (2013). Known biases in cryosat level1b products. Technical Report C2-TN-ARS-GS-5135, Aresys.
- Stenseng, L. (2014b). Product validation report Polar Ocean. Technical report, DTU Space, National Space Institute.
- Stenseng, L. (2014a). Algorithm theoretical baseline document Polar Ocean. Technical report, DTU Space, National Space Institute.
- Stenseng, L., Andersen, O. B., and Knudsen, P. (2014). Enhancing the arctic mean sea surface and mean dynamic topography with cryosat-2 data. In *Geophysical Research Abstracts*, volume 16.
- Stenseng, L. and Andersen, O. B. (2013). Improving the arctic mean sea surface with cryosat-2 data. In 2013 Fall Meeting, AGU, San Francisco, Calif., 9-13 Dec., number G41B-0937.
- Stenseng, L. (2011). Polar remote sensing by CryoSat-type radar altimetry. PhD thesis, DTU Space, National Space Institute.



Validation: IceBridge





Validation: IceBridge Leads in aerial photos and CryoSat-2 data



- Detected ~80% of leads >500 m²
- LiDAR observations ~4 cm std. dev.
- Mean difference 0 cm Only 34 collocated observations