Theme: Sea Ice

Topic: In situ data to support sea ice retrievals

Catherine Taelman et al,
Torbjørn Eltoft et al
Ekaterina Kim et al.

Contributing work

Tracking backscatter signatures of individual sea ice floes - Using in-situ drift observations

By Catherine Taelman, Johannes Lohse and Anthony P. Doulgeris

UiT The Arctic University of Norway

The CIRFA-2022 Cruise to the western Fram Strait: Objectives, Ground Measurements, and Preliminary Results

By: T. Eltoft, C. Taelman, M. Johansson, J. P. Lohse, S. Gerland, and W. Dierking

CIRFA - UiT the Arctic University of Norway

Quadruple Helix Framework for Sea Ice Monitoring: Next Steps

By: Ekaterina Kim. Roger Skjetne, Knut Høyland

NTNU

Table of content

Key objectives

Collect In-situ data to aid remote sensing tool development

- Aid developments and validation of new sea ice algorithms
- Dedicated remote sensing validation campaigns
    - Temporal and spatial overlap
    - Instantaneous ice drift validation
    - Deployment of drifters on sea ice and icebergs
    - Tomographic radar measurements

To build a multiscale digital method and system that integrate remote sensing, numerical models and in-situ data

- Improved spatial and temporal resolution to achieve more precise forecasting of ice conditions in the Arctic
    - including better understanding of long-term variations in polar ice cover
    - Improve design and operation of offshore wind infrastructure

In-situ data campaigns



MOSAIC expedition Oct 2019 – Oct 2020

- Goal to continually monitor changes in the coupled ocean-ice-atmosphere system throughout the seasons

N-ICE 2015 NORWEGIAN YOUNG SEA ICE CRUISE

MOSAiC

the Nansen LEGACY

Icebird (2 yearly)

Photo by Sara Wang

Sea ice Environmental Research Facility (SERF), Uni Manitoba

Innovations

(Results)

Innovation (Taelman et al.)

- Full trajectory = sea ice drift and ocean current observations
- Wave spectra
- Sea ice part covers transition from freezing conditions to melt onset (April – July)
- Drone deployment

17 sea ice drifters &
3 iceberg drifters



Innovation (Taelman et al.)

- Expand the tracked area by manually identifying distinct ice structures in the vicinity of the drifter location





Innovation (Taelman et al.)

- Drones can be used to deploy drifters away from ships/land -> larger spread

- Larger number of drifters enables study of the temporal evolution and incident angle dependence of the radar backscatter for drifting ice floes, even in the melt season

- Preliminary results show that:
  - Freezing season: Radar backscatter variation is mostly due to incident angle

  - Melt season: Radar backscatter changes rapidly and the internal spread is larger. Difficult to attribute variations to either physical changes on the ice, or to incident angle.

Example SAR timeseries for 1 drifter (★)



Innovation (Eltoft et al.)

Near real time validation of ship-based sea ice observations with Classifier results.

Sentinel-1: 2022/05/04 07:29 UTC

IceObs: Deformed Ice, small patches of Level Ice or Open Water

Classification: Deformed Ice

100x100km







Innovation (Eltoft et al.)

- The VTOL drone could take-off and land on the heli-deck.
- Its long-distance flying capability allowed for km-meter wise optical mapping of sea ice with, 50 cm spatial resolution.
- Coinciding in time and place with SAR acquisitions
- Instantaneous sea ice drift estimates – Harmony mission





Innovation (Eltoft et al.)

Multi-scale snow measurements

- Snow radar drone
- Snow depth (Magnaprobe)
- Snow hardness (Snow Micropenetrometer)
- Snow pits



Drone equipped with an UWB Snow radar





Snow Micropenetrometer

Innovation (Eltoft et al.)

- **High-resolution ground-based radar** signatures to be compared to satellite data
- Discriminate sources of scattering within a *layered* medium consisting of snow on sea ice
- Testing assumptions associated with the radar response of sea-ice at C band







Innovation (Kim et al.)



- AI based segmentation of optical images from ships (Panchi et al, 2021)
- Retrieval of ice parameters
- Customized output

Detection of
Level ice
Deformed ice
Icebergs
Pancake ice
Brash ice
Ice floe
Melt ponds



Innovation (Kim et al.)


Normal images


Challenging for novices


Challenging for AI

Tested during GoNorth-2022 (Panchi et al, 2023)



Challenges and knowledge gaps for in-situ data

For drifting sea ice is temporal overlap between satellite images and in-situ data collection very important

- Time separation without in-situ drift make validation and training data extraction challenging
- Drift station data collection over time can help cover multiple seasons

PALSAR-2



Radarsat-2



8 h time separation

PALSAR-2





5 h time separation

Challenges and knowledge gaps for in-situ data

Rapid changing surfaces (melting in summer, ice drift year-round)

- Time separation between different satellite sensors and in-situ data collection
- High temporal cover during in-situ data campaigns – support from satellite service providers
  - JAXA-ESA LC-project



Challenges and knowledge gaps for in-situ data

Upscaling - downscaling

- Different modes (fine + coarse evolution) help with upscaling and downscaling
- How can we go from in-situ -> drones -> airborne -> satellites -> models?
- Large spatial possible cover over the site – help mitigate issues with overlapping drifting in-situ campaigns

Outlook and recommendations

Targeted in-situ data collection

- In-situ data campaigns targeting satellite data product validation
- Permanent stations overlapped with repeated satellite image overlaps
- In-situ collection should be adapted to solve the scientific question
- Connect ground radar observations -> drones -> SAR (other satellite images) for upscaling
- Consider overlaps in time and space for upscaling
    - SAR, Altimetry, PMW, IR, Optical sensors for satellites, drones and airborne sensors

Drone usage

- Increased use of georeferenced drone images for training and validation of satellite data products
- Plan drone flights to relate to the science and operational question
- Use drones for instantaneous sea ice drift retrieval - connect with SAR image observations (Harmony)
- Drones have long-distance capability allowed for km-meter wise optical and IR mapping
- Can fly below cloud cover and fly simultaneous with SAR (other satellite sensor) acquisitions

Outlook & remaining knowledge gaps

The role of snow must be better understood

- Snow metamorphism and the effect on the radar signature (perhaps) not fully understood
- Also under dry freezing conditions
- Wind compacted layers
- Rain on snow events
- Ice lenses within the snowpack and brine layer at the snow-ice interface, e.g., February N-ICE2015
- Might mostly relate to C- and X- band, L-band less affected

Summer season

Drifters

- Deploy more drifters on underrepresented sea ice
  - First year ice (thinner)
  - Fast drifting sea ice
- Data arrays, e.g., MOSAiC, NICE-2015 etc (drifting and deformation on a high-resolution scale)