### **Development of the ERA\* high resolution ocean forcing product**

BEC

IEEC

Barcelona Expert Center

Institut de Ciències

Ana Trindade (ICM-CSIC & UPC) Giuseppe Grieco (ISMAR-CNR) Evgeniia Makarova (ICM-CSIC) <u>Marcos Portabella</u> (ICM-CSIC) Rianne Giesen (KNMI) Anton Verhoef (KNMI) Ad Stoffelen (KNMI)

portabella@icm.csic.es

nstitu

del Mar

de Ciències



**World Ocean Circulation** 

Koninklijk Nederlands Meteorologisch Instituut Ministerie van Infrastructuur en Milieu

# Barcelona Expert Center

## **Ocean wind forcing**

- NWP wind output is generally used as ocean forcing since it's ubiquitous.
- NWP winds resolve up to 100-150 km scales in open ocean, and miss small scale processes like moist convection, wind stress-SST coupling, coastal effects, etc.
- Scatterometers provide high-resolution (25-km), accurate sea surface wind field information up to 15-20 km off the coast
- Active microwave sensors, operating at C- & Ku-band, i.e., almost all-weather capabilities
- They are used in a wide variety of applications, e.g., assimilation into global and regional NWP, nowcasting, hurricane advisories, climate trend analyses, air-sea fluxes, etc.
- They measure the sea surface roughness, which is a good proxy for wind stress

### Level 4 wind forcing

- Can scatterometers be used to improve current NWP ocean forcing products?
- Can they bring higher resolution & more accurate forcing?
- An optimal combination of NWP output and scatterometers winds is needed to overcome sampling issues



**ERA\* HOURLY L4 WIND PRODUCT** 

BEC

IEEC

Barcelona Expert Center





#### SYSTEMATIC DIFFERENCES BETWEEN NWP AND SCATTEROMETER



bec.icm.csic.es

#### **Development of ERA\*:**

1. Scatterometer-based corrections:

$$SC(i,j,t_f) = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{t=1}^{M} (u_{10s}^{SCAT_k}(i,j,t) - u_{10s}^{ERA}(i,j,t))$$

*N* length of the temporal window (d);
*k* Scatterometer combinations
*M* is the number of scatt. and ERA collocations
Applied at every forecast time



#### 2. ERA5-corrected stress-equivalent winds (ERA5\*):

$$u_{10s}^{ERA5^*}(i, j, t_f) = u_{10s}^{ERA}(i, j, t_f) + SC(i, j, t_f)$$

ERA\* corrects for large-scale circulation errors and adds small-scale true wind variability, due to oceanic features such as wind changes over SST gradients and ocean currents.

ERA\* error variance (w.r.t. HSCAT-B) is 9% lower than that of ERA5





- Scatterometer constellation in 2010-2020 (only C-band & Ku-band with global, continuous coverage)
  - 2010-2012: ASCAT-A & OSCAT
  - 2013: ASCAT-A, ASCAT-B & OSCAT
  - 2014-2016: ASCAT-A, ASCAT-B
  - 2017-2018: ASCAT-A, ASCAT-B & OSCAT2
  - 2019-2020: ASCAT-A, ASCAT-B, ASCAT-C & OSCAT2, HSCAT-B
- HSCAT-A and RapidSCAT don't have full coverage and/or continuous coverage, therefore used for verification purposes only
- The 2019 period is used as testbed for ERA\* optimization over the entire period since it contains all the possible combinations of C-band and Ku-band scatterometer sampling
- Validation against buoys is added to independent scatterometer verification



Global





ERA5\* ABCO daily metrics vs HSCAT and gaps in scatterometer sampling (2019)



Short temporal windows sensitive to data gaps



#### ERA5\* AO daily metrics vs HSCAT and gaps in scatterometer sampling (2019)



Few scatterometers & short temporal windows very sensitive to data gaps



Gaps in days per year



ASCAT-A ASCAT-B ASCAT-C OSCAT1 OSCAT2

Large data gaps in Ku-band systems!



#### Global Daily VRMS NWP/HSCAT-B 2019 Daily VRMS NWP/HSCAT-A 2013 1,9 2,05 2,025 1,875 2 1,85 1,975 1,825 1,95 1,8 ° o 1,925 1,775 ERA\* 1.9 **ERA**\* 1,75 1,875 1,85 1,725 1,825 1,7 1,8 1,675 1,775 1,65 1,75 1,625 1,725 1,6 1,7 1,6 1,625 1,65 1,675 1,7 1,725 1,75 1,775 1,8 1,825 1,85 1,875 1,9 1,7 1,725 1,75 1,775 1,8 1,825 1,85 1,875 1,9 1,925 1,95 1,975 2 2,025 2,05 ERA5 ERA5 ● ABCO\_72 ● ABCO\_360 • ABO 72 • ABO 360

**REF: HSCAT** 

NWP: ERA5, ERA\*

$$VRMS = \sqrt{\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left( \left( u_{i,REF} - u_{i,NWP} \right)^{2} + \left( v_{i,REF} - v_{i,NWP} \right)^{2} \right)}$$

72: 3-day window 360: 15-day window A: ASCAT-A ABC: ASCAT-A, -B & -C ABCO: ASCAT-A, -B, -C & OSCAT2

- ERA\* baseline configuration (overall 6% error variance reduction w.r.t. ERA5):
  - **2010**: 30-day time window (since 25% of the time only ASCAT-A available)
  - 2011-2013 & 2017-2020: 15-day time window for combined C- & Ku-band
  - 2014-2016: 15-day time window for C-band only
- ERA\* optimized configuration (overall **9%** error variance reduction w.r.t. ERA5):
  - 2013, 2018 & 2020: 3-day time window for combined C- & Ku-band
- Current activities
  - ERA\* operational implementation in CMEMS (see poster from A. Stoffelen)
  - Machine learning approach to directly relate ECMWF forecast U10S & MBL parameter fields to NWP-scatterometer differences
  - ERA\* being tested in several applications (NTA, surge) and in **WOC Themes 2 & 3**

### Machine learning approach for ERA\*: preliminary results

ERA\* error variance reduction w.r.t. ERA5 error (middle latitudes)



- **XGBoost**: Decision tree based model with 1500 estimator
- **MLP**: Feed-forward Neural Network with 4 hidden layers, each containing 256, 128, 64, and 32 neurons.
- Training period: 2.5 months

## Machine learning approach for ERA\*: preliminary results

ERA\* error variance reduction w.r.t. ERA5 error (global)



- **XGBoost**: Decision tree based model with 1500 estimator
- **MLP**: Feed-forward Neural Network with 4 hidden layers, each containing 256, 128, 64, and 32 neurons.
- Training period: 2.5 months

#### Sensitivity experiments with the EC-Earth3 model:

- MOD: 11-month initialized forecast simulation from February to December 2017, in which the model wind runs free in the tropical Atlantic region.
- **ERAI**: 11-month forecast simulation forced by wind stress from ERA-interim in the tropical Atlantic region from February to December 2017.
- **ERA\*:** 11-month forecast simulation forced by wind stress from ERA\* in the tropical Atlantic region from February to December 2017.

#### Technical specifications:

- ERA\* consists of a scatterometer-based correction of ERA-interim, able to introduce true smaller scale signal that corresponds to physical processes absent or misrepresented in the ERA-interim output.
- Forced tropical Atlantic region [35°N-35°S, 70°W-20°E] with 4° buffering zone.
- Initial conditions on the 1st of February of 2017 from ORA-S4 and ERA-interim
- 10 members for each simulation perturbing atmospheric initial conditions

### Case study: Warm 2017 North Tropical Atlantic event

0



MAY

APR

MAR

10E



-3 -2

#### **RW-reflected mechanism:**

0 1 2

3 4 0 10E

5 6 7

**dRW** (BM2~ 0.49m/s) propagates westward and is boundary-reflected in July

**dKW** (BM1<sup>~</sup> 2.97m/s) propagates along the equator during July-August

- NTA-equatorial linkage in ٠ the 2017 event via windinduced remote ocean waves
- **RW-reflected** mechanism ٠ arises when realistic surface stress is used
- Despite stronger ERA\* wind curl, similar dRW w.r.t ERAi
- Enhanced dKW for ERA\* experiment w.r.t. ERAi

Contours: significance at 95%

40W 30W 20W 10W

10W 20W 30W 40W